



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/943,801	08/30/2001	Dinesh Sheth	A00067US	3215	
36536	7590 09/22/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WYATT, TARRANT & COMBS, LLP			THERIAULT, STEVEN B		
1715 AARON BRENNER DRIVE SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MEMPHIS,	TN 38120-4367		2179	2179	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Andieus Communication	09/943,801	SHETH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steven B. Theriault	2179			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 July	une 2005.				
_	action is non-final.	•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,9,16,37,38,41 and 42</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,9,16,37,38,41 and 42</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 March 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom Application (i 10-102)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office A	ction Summary P	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050909			

Art Unit: 2179

DETAILED ACTION

- This action is responsive to the following communications: Amendment filed on 06/27/2005.
- 2. Claims 8, 9, 16, 37, 38, 41 and 42 are pending in the case. Claims 1-7, 10-15, 17-36, 39-40 and 43-49 have been cancelled. Claim 8, 16, 37, and 41 are the independent claims. Claims 8, 16 and 42 are the amended claims

Drawings

- The Examiner acknowledges the corrections to the specification regarding the drawings and withdraws the objection and the drawings are now acceptable.
- 4. The Examiner withdraws the objection to the specification and to the 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph objection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 8, 9, 37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar et al (hereinafter Kumar) U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0007330 A1 issued Jan. 17, 2002, and filed Apr. 4, 2001, and in view of Khan et al (hereinafter Khan) U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0018078 A1 issued Feb. 14, 2002, and filed June 7, 2001.

In regard to **Independent claim 8**, Kumar teaches a computerized *method of*aggregating and displaying Internet account information on a processor of a client, the client

Art Unit: 2179

processor having a display, the client processor operatively connected to a host server processor via the Internet, comprising:

- Displaying a view page on the display, said view page having three columns, each of said columns having at least one monitor therein; (Kumar Figure 11) Kumar shows dashboard that presents aggregated account information in a display and where the display is configured with three columns (see also page 2, column 2, lines 55-67).
- Populating said monitors with links to selected web sites; (See Figure 2 and 11 and page 8, column 1, lines 40-67) Kumar teaches web agents and modules displayed within a page that are monitors that the user defines with the links employed to gather and summarize information from multiple sources into one place.
- Retrieving data from said selected web-sites (see Page 8, column 1, lines 50-60)
 Kumar teaches the retrieving of data from the Internet sites selected by the user.
- Displaying said retrieved data in said monitors on said view page (See Figure 11)
 Kumar expressly shows the displaying of information in said modules in a view page.
 Kumar does not expressly disclose:
- Creating and storing a plurality of view pages in a view page database of the host processor, each said view page containing monitors selectively populated by the user, and assigning a different name to each said view page to thereby distinguish said view pages from one another.

Khan teaches a customizable network user interface that allows a user to create, edit or delete as many views as the user desires. Khan also teaches the process of monitoring the information located in the site (Khan page 6, column 2, lines 50-67), for the purpose of allowing a user to categorize related information into multiple views and windows. Khan also teaches the selection of a desired view and the disk storage for storing the marked information (Khan Figure 1 and page 5, column 2, lines 50-67). Khan teaches a database for the storage of content as it relates to a specific interface and user selections and is accessible from the interface that the user

Art Unit: 2179

selects to be added to the interface, therefore all of the view pages are stored within the database (see page 8, column 1, lines 1-20). Further, Khan teaches the ability to publish the user views into a directory of views, which would indicate distinguishing names or identifications for the organizing of the views within the directory (see page 6, column 2, lines 60-63). Khan and Kumar are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of using network based interfaces to provide a central location for users to access their predefined information.

Accordingly, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Kumar and Khan before him at the time of the invention was made, to modify the system of Kumar to incorporate the multiple views of Khan and view database, in order to obtain a system that is able to provide multiple views of information to a user. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because of the need that allows a user to completely configure both the source and content that the users want in their portal as taught by Khan.

With respect to **dependent claim 9**, as indicated in the above discussion, Kumar in view of Khan teaches every element of claim 8.

Kumar fails to expressly teach the *method of selecting a desired view page via a*graphical user interface of a displayed view page, retrieving said selected view page from said

view page database, and displaying said selected view page on the display.

However, Khan teaches a process of allowing a user to create, modify and edit a plurality of page

views that comprise individual windows that contain customized content selected by the user (see

figure 1 and page 6, column 2, lines 50-67 and page 8, column 1, lines 1-15) and where the

content and view is retrieved from a database. Khan and Kumar are analogous art because they

are from the same field of endeavor of using network based interfaces to provide a central

location for users to access their predefined information.

Accordingly, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Kumar and Khan before him at the time of the invention was made, to modify the system of Kumar to incorporate the multiple views of Khan and view database, in order to obtain

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/943,801

Art Unit: 2179

a system that is able to provide multiple views of information to a user. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because of the need that allows a user to completely configure both the source and content that the users want in their portal as taught by Khan.

In regard to **Independent claim 37**, Kumar teaches [a computerized method of aggregating and displaying Internet account information on a processor of a client, the client processor having a display, the client processor operatively connected to a host server processor via the Internet, comprising:

- Each said view page having one or more columns, (Kumar figure 11) Kumar shows a
 display with three columns.
- Each of said columns having at least one monitor therein (Kumar figure 11) Kumar shows a display with three columns with more than one monitor in each.
- Selecting a monitor from one of said columns of said selected view page via a graphical user interface, moving said selected monitor to a new position on said view page via a graphical user interface, and displaying said monitor in said new position on said view page. (Kumar Fig. 17.) Kumar shows a graphical interface that has multiple windows with the standard set of windows controls attached. It is well known in the art on moving windows around an interface. Therefore, the account alerts window can be moved to a different column and displayed in the new location.

Kumar fails to expressly disclose:

- Creating and storing a plurality of view pages in a view page database of the host processor,
- Assigning a different name to each said view page to thereby distinguish said view pages from one another,
- Selecting a view page from said view page database via a graphical user interface, retrieving said selected view page from said view page database, displaying said selected view page on the display,

Art Unit: 2179

Khan teaches a customizable network user interface that allows a user to create, edit or delete as many views as the user desires. Khan also teaches the process of monitoring the information located in the site (Khan page 6, column 2, lines 50-67), for the purpose of allowing a user to categorize related information into multiple views and windows. Khan also teaches the selection of a desired view and the disk storage for storing the marked information (Khan Figure 1 and page 5, column 2, lines 50-67). Khan teaches a database for the storage of content as it relates to a specific interface and user selections and is accessible from the interface that the user selects to be added to the interface, therefore all of the view pages are stored within the database (see page 8, column 1, lines 1-20). Further, Khan teaches the ability to publish the user views into a directory of views, which would indicate distinguishing names or identifications for the organizing of the views within the directory (see page 6, column 2, lines 60-63). Khan and Kumar are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of using network based interfaces to provide a central location for users to access their predefined information.

Accordingly, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Kumar and Khan before him at the time of the invention was made, to modify the system of Kumar to incorporate the multiple views of Khan and view database, in order to obtain a system that is able to provide multiple views of information to a user. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because of the need that allows a user to completely configure both the source and content that the users want in their portal as taught by Khan.

With respect to **dependent claim 38**, Kumar teaches *the client processor is a mobile device* (Kumar page 3, column 1, lines 15-25 and page 10, column 2, lines 1-10). Kumar teaches that cellular phones and hand-held devices are used with the invention.

Art Unit: 2179

7. Claims 16, 41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar et al (hereinafter Kumar) U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0007330 A1 issued Jan. 17, 2002, and filed Apr. 4, 2001, and in view of Flesner et al (hereinafter Flesner) U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0194267 A1 issued Dec. 19, 2002, and filed June 22, 2001.

In regard to Independent claim 16, Kumar teaches a computerized method of aggregating and displaying Internet account information on a processor of a client, the client processor having a display, the client processor operatively connected to a host server processor via the Internet comprising:

- Displaying a view page on the display, said view page having three columns, each of said columns having at least one monitor therein; (Kumar Figure 11) Kumar shows dashboard that presents aggregated account information in a display and where the display is configured with three columns (see also page 2, column 2, lines 55-67).
- Populating said monitors with links to selected web-sites; See Figure 2 and 11 and page 8, column 1, lines 40-67) Kumar teaches web agents and modules displayed within a page that are monitors that the user defines with the links employed to gather and summarize information from multiple sources into one place.
- Retrieving data from said selected web sites; (see Page 8, column 1, lines 50-60)
 Kumar teaches the retrieving of data from the Internet sites selected by the user.
- Displaying said retrieved data in said monitors on said view page; (See Figure 11)

 Kumar expressly shows the displaying of information in said modules in a view page.

 Kumar expressly suggests the ability of the user to customize the look and feel of the modules within the interface, which would inherently provide for the user the ability to change the color of each module (see page 17, column 1,lines 50-67). It is known in the common art the look and feel of the interface includes the colors, layout and behavior of elements within the interface. Further, the common definition of "look and feel" is

"Look and feel refers to design aspects of a graphical user interface – in terms of both colours, shapes, layout, typefaces, etc (the "look"), and the behaviour of dynamic

Art Unit: 2179

elements such as buttons, boxes, and menus (the "feel"). It is used in reference to both software and websites." (see http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Look_and_feel)

However, Kumar fails to expressly disclose:

- Selectively modifying a background color scheme of at least one of said monitors
 of said view page, wherein modifying the background color scheme further
 comprises:
 - Selecting a monitor to modify
 - Retrieving said selected monitor from a monitors database of the host processor,
 - Selecting a new display color for said selected monitor via a graphical interface
 - Storing said modified selected monitor in said monitors database,
 - Displaying an updated view page on the display of the client processor, said updated view page including said modified background color scheme of said selected monitor.

Flesner teaches a portal system that provides for aggregating content from the Internet in which individual windows are displayed on the interface containing the content (see figure 2) Flesner also teaches a Layout and color button (see page 3, column 1,lines 45-50) that allows a user to adjust the portal color scheme. Flesner further defines the ability to customize color settings and fonts of individual modules provided the user has the correct permission to update the module and for publishing the module, which would provide for the updating the database and displaying the page in the interface with the new settings. (See page 6, column 1, lines 1-15 and column 2, lines 58-67). Kumar and Flesner are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of providing account and content aggregation services to users to provide a single one-stop source of account information.

Application/Control Number: 09/943,801 Page 9

Art Unit: 2179

Accordingly, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Kumar and Khan before him at the time of the invention was made, to modify the system of Kumar to incorporate the layout and color modification system of each module, in order to obtain a system that is able to provide customization features for each module or window. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because of the need to provide a single site for information located on plurality of different service provider's sites in a sufficiently broad and customizable manner as to keep users interested in aggregation portals as taught by Flesner (Page 1, column 1, lines 50-67).

In regard to **Independent claim 41,** Kumar teaches [a computerized method of aggregating and displaying Internet account information on a processor of a client, the client processor having a display, the client processor operatively connected to a host server processor via the Internet, comprising:]

- Displaying a view page on the display (Kumar figure7) Kumar shows the user computer with display monitor
- A view page having one or more columns (Kumar figure 11) Kumar shows a display with three columns.
- Each of said columns having at least one monitor therein, (Kumar figure 11) Kumar shows a display with three columns with more than one monitor in each
- Populating said monitors with links to selected web-sites, retrieving data from said selected web-sites, displaying said retrieved data in said monitors on said view page, (Kumar figure 5 and figure 17) Kumar teaches the process of populating the monitors with gatherer scripts, storing the information in a database and rendering the information in a web page.
- Selecting a monitor to modify, retrieving said selected monitor from a monitor's
 database of the host processor (Kumar page 17, column 1, lines 50-67) Kumar
 teaches that the content and data classifications can be changed within the interface.

Kumar also teaches the retrieval of monitors and displaying the modules on the display page from a database (Kumar figure 8).

Kumar expressly suggests the ability of the user to customize the look and feel of the modules within the interface, which would inherently provide for the user the ability to change the color of each module (see page 17, column 1,lines 50-67). It is known in the common art the look and feel of the interface includes the colors, layout and behavior of elements within the interface. Further, the common definition of "look and feel" is

"Look and feel refers to design aspects of a graphical user interface – in terms of both colours, shapes, layout, typefaces, etc (the "look"), and the behaviour of dynamic elements such as buttons, boxes, and menus (the "feel"). It is used in reference to both software and websites." (see http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Look_and_feel)

However, Kumar fails to expressly disclose:

- Selecting a new display color for said selected monitor via a graphical user interface,
- Storing said modified selected monitor in said monitors database, and displaying an
 updated view page on the display of the client processor, said updated view page
 including said modified background color scheme of said selected monitor.

Flesner teaches a portal system that provides for aggregating content from the Internet in which individual windows are displayed on the interface containing the content (see figure 2). Flesner also teaches a Layout and color button (see page 3, column 1,lines 45-50) that allows a user to adjust the portal color scheme. Flesner further defines the ability to customize color settings and fonts of individual modules provided the user has the correct permission to update the module and for publishing the module, which would provide for the updating the database and displaying the page in the interface with the new settings. (See page 6, column 1, lines 1-15 and column 2, lines 58-67). Kumar and Flesner are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor of providing account and content aggregation services to users to provide a single one-stop source of account information.

Accordingly, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Kumar and Khan before him at the time of the invention was made, to modify the

system of Kumar to incorporate the layout and color modification system of each module, in order to obtain a system that is able to provide customization features for each module or window. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because of the need to provide a single site for information located on plurality of different service provider's sites in a sufficiently broad and customizable manner as to keep users interested in aggregation portals as taught by Flesner (Page 1, column 1, lines 50-67).

With respect to **dependent claim 42**, Kumar teaches the method where said processor is a mobile device. (Kumar page 3, column 1, lines 15-25 and page 10, column 2, lines 1-10). Kumar teaches that cellular phones and hand-held devices are used with the invention.

References to specific columns, figures or lines should not be limiting in any way. The entire reference provides disclosure related to the claimed invention.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 06/27/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the Khan does not teach names for a plurality of view pages in a database. Applicant argues that Khan does not teach an ability to name a plurality of view pages and where the information for the view pages is not stored in a view page database because the applicant asserts that Khan does not relate the creating of views, storing the views and retrieving the views. See Applicant's argument Page 14, Para 1, lines 9-12.

The Examiner disagrees.

Khan expressly teaches the ability to create and retrieve and name a plurality of views and where the system uses a database to store the selected links and windows chosen by the user while configuring the content that is going to be displayed in a given view (see page 6, column 2, lines 50-67 and Figures 2- 4 and page 8, column 1, lines 1-15).

Application/Control Number: 09/943,801 Page 12

Art Unit: 2179

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16, 41 and 42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven B. Theriault whose telephone number is (571) 272-5867. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on (571) 272-4847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SBT

WEILUN LO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2200

Page 13